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#### Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic has created a psychoactive substance use crisis in many countries, including México. Remote valid tools to identify high-risk groups in need for treatment are a prerequisite for cost-effective interventions in primary care settings. To determine the validity and correlates of the remote applications of the Alcohol, Smoking, and Substance Involvement Screening Test (ASSIST) with sex, age, and psychological careseeking, offered remotely in primary settings, during the COVID-19 pandemic in Mexico, a total sample of 19,109 Mexicans, with an average age of 34.38 years ( $S D=12.28$, range $=18-80$ ), $65.8 \%$ of whom were women ( $n=12,578$ ), $29.6 \%$ in lockdown $(5,660)$, $39.8 \%$ in partial lockdown $(7,611), 30.60 \%$ not in lockdown ( 5,838 ), and $14.75 \%$ of whom were seeking psychological care ( $n=2,819$ ), completed ASSIST through a programmed Web application. The dimensionality of the scale to verify construct validity evidence was achieved through a confirmatory factor analysis model (CFA). We represented the distribution of subjects by sex, age, lockdown condition, and psychological care-seeking, based on their lifetime consumption in 2021. We also compared the total distribution by consumption risk level and recommended type of intervention, psychological care-seeking, and age. The tool included ten dimensions (one for each substance, such as tobacco use), confirmed through the CFA. In general, our findings indicated that men reported high lifetime psychoactive substance use and risky drug use levels. A high percentage of 18 to 19 -yearold women reported lifetime tobacco and alcohol use. Additionally, a high number of allage women reported lifetime sedative and opioid use. Also, a high proportion of partially lockdown participants reported lifetime drug use. Moreover, a high percentage of subjects seeking psychological care were at a moderate and high risk of drug use, which required brief or intensive treatment. Our findings indicate that it was possible to validate the factor structure of the programmed ASSIST for remote use. More men than women reported high lifetime psychoactive substance use and risky levels because of their consumption. At the same time, younger women reported similar and even higher lifetime tobacco, alcohol, and cocaine use than same-age men. More all-age women reported lifetime use of sedatives than all-age men. More all-age partially lockdown participants reported lifetime use of drugs. In general, subjects at greater risk and those requiring psychological care are more likely to seek care. Community and primary care screening will make it possible to


[^0]implement effective early interventions to reduce the substance use risks associated with health emergencies. Future studies are required to determine the diagnosis of substance use disorders to evaluate the cut-off points in the screening test to discriminate between the presence and absence of symptoms and evaluate the effect of remote psychological care.
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## Introduction

By November 12, 2022, over 180.8 million people had been diagnosed with COVID-19, and 2.9 million had died, equivalent to a $1.58 \%$ mortality rate in America alone (PAHO, 2022). Moreover, the Global Drugs Report, published by the United Nations Office on Drugs and Crime (GDR-UNODC, 2021), has indicated that 275 million people worldwide used psychoactive substances between May 2020 and June 2021. Thirty-six million people may subsequently develop drug use disorders and do not always seek psychological care (GDRUNODC, 2021).

Accordingly, drug use may be experiencing a global increase. Forty-two percent of 77 countries have reported an increase in the use of drugs such as cannabis or nonprescription medical drugs (GDR-UNODC, 2021). In 2022, Mellos and Paparrigopoulos referred to increased alcohol, cannabis, and nicotine use trends during the COVID-19 pandemic. However, Bommelé et al. (2020) and Adinolfi et al. (2022) suggested that some people use more drugs while others use less. Layman et al. (2022) reported reductions in the prevalence of substance use among youth, suggesting monitoring and continued surveillance in the subsequent years and predicting an increase in drug consumption. The GDR-UNODC therefore predicts that there will be an $11 \%$ rise in the number people using drugs worldwide by 2030.

On one side, the rise in drug abuse is associated with a fourfold increase in drug availability and accessibility through the black market (Mellos and Paparrigopoulos (2022), which occurred between 2011 and 2020, even though control systems limit the spread of drug use (GDR-UNODC, 2021). On the other side, Layman et al. (2022) suggested that the decrease in drug use is due to the lockdown during the COVID-19 pandemic. They explained that substance consumption occurs outside the home environment and within the context of the peer group. Substance use is highly dependent on the availability and access to drugs and other substances. Therefore, the COVID-19 pandemic and lockdown conditions are related to the variability and diversity of the distribution channels of psychoactive substances reaching some people while limiting drug access to other communities.

In Mexico, the Mental Health, and Substance Abuse Observer System (MHSAMOS, 2021) has reported that $43.2 \%$ of men and $32.3 \%$ of women used drugs in 2021. During the COVID-19 pandemic, $32.5 \%$ of the population reported alcohol consumption, $24.6 \%$ tobacco use, and $14.6 \%$ cannabis use with a higher prevalence in men than women. Moreover, $16 \%$ of men and $9 \%$ of women reported cocaine use, and $16.4 \%$ of men and $9.6 \%$ of women reported using opioids during the pandemic (MHSAMOS, 2021).

Regarding intake, $18.7 \%, 19.8 \%$, and $3.1 \%$ of the Mexican population have reported greater use of tobacco, alcohol, and other drugs, respectively (MHSAMOS, 2021). The reasons for this drug use were stress, anxiety, and lockdown during the COVID-19 pandemic rather than curiosity about experiencing the effects of using drugs. According to Layman et al. (2022), people experiencing increased stress and mental health problems are more vulnerable to using drugs as a coping mechanism during the COVID-19 pandemic. Bommelé et al. (2020) reported that tobacco use varies because of boredom, restrictions in movement, and concern about becoming severely ill. Moreover, Adinolfi et al. (2022) reported said
alcohol consumption has also varied by sex, age, lockdown, COVID-19 status, violence, and comorbidity. Specific factors associated with fewer variations in the frequency of substance use before and during the COVID-19 pandemic were being male, having a different occupation from being a homemaker, and being single (Adinolfi et al., 2022). Therefore, monitoring not just alcohol but also other psychoactive substances use is essential for designing public policies to prevent and treat drug use disorders (Layman et al., 2022).

In the context of drug use studies during the COVID-19 pandemic, innovations have been implemented and prevention services adapted to increase treatment availability. Academics have developed a WebApp using a Technological Information System (TIS; Morales-Chainé et al., 2022) based on national and international guidelines for remote psychological care (APA-GPT, 2013). The context of TIS has been one where few people have sought evi-dence-based psychological care. It means authors have developed a tool to reduce the gap for based-evidence treatment. However, MHSAMOS (2021) reported that $62.7 \%$ of the subjects interviewed failed to seek treatment or even consider that they needed help because of their drug use. As a result, $17.5 \%$ have not sought psychological care despite needing it and just $7.7 \%$ have sought professional care for their psychoactive substance use.

Screening for psychoactive drug use in primary care helps narrow the gap in timely treatment initiation. TIS has been helping with the early detection of lifetime drug use and risk levels during frequent drug use. The World Health Organization (ASSIST-WHO, 2010) developed the Alcohol, Smoking, and Substance Involvement Screening Test (ASSIST) to achieve early screening in community settings. Prior to the COVID-19 pandemic, Tiburcio et al. (2016) assessed the psychometric properties of ASSIST while identifying at-risk cases due to substance use in a sample of 1,176 undergraduate students in Mexico. The authors reported reliability coefficients for tobacco (alpha $=0.83$ ), alcohol (alpha=0.76), and cannabis (alpha $=0.73$ ). They found significant correlations between alcohol and the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT; $r=0.72$ ), a good balance of sensitivity and specificity in the alcohol subscale ( $83.8 \%$ and $80 \%$, respectively), and the largest area under the curve ( $\mathrm{ROC}=81.9 \%$ ) and established a cutoff score of 8 points. Moreover, Adinolfi et al. (2022) used the ASSIST to assess the associations between quarantine, the use of psychoactive substances, and symptoms of depression and anxiety. They described how the ASSIST helped to identify the associated factors to less frequency of drug use.

Since drug use varied widely during the COVID-19 pandemic, the aim of the study was to determine the validity of the remote application and correlates of the Alcohol, Smoking, and Substance Involvement Screening Test (ASSIST) with sex, age, lockdown condition, and psychological care-seeking, offered remotely in primary settings, during the COVID-19 pandemic in Mexico. We expected to distinguish the level of drug use risks related to sociodemographic characteristics and to find high levels in men, early age groups, not lockdown conditions, and psychological care-seekers. This exploration led to the dissemination of evidence-based interventions for drug use disorders in primary care and community settings.

## Method

## Design

In this correlational study, through a cross-sectional design, subjects were invited to enter a programmed platform, WebApp, between December 13, 2020, and August 31, 2021. The link was available on the Mexican Health Ministry Website (announced on the radio, television, and the Internet).

Subjects were asked to read the following instructions: The risk of suffering from COVID-19 is an unprecedented social condition that affects us all. The current COVID-19 pandemic is a situation in which we must understand our feelings. As a result, we should find out what to do about it and where to find professional evidence-based help whenever required. We therefore invite you to answer the following questionnaire. You will receive feedback on your answers, and counseling to help you cope with your emotions, thoughts, and behaviors due to the current health contingency. Your participation is voluntary, and all the information you provide will be treated confidentially. Your information management will comply with the Mexican privacy policies for personal data treatment.

## Subjects

We received questionnaires from 19,109 subjects, from December 14, 2020, to August 31, 2021. Regarding the study sample, we invited the subjects to participate through a public announcement on the official Health Ministry website and the institutional website of the leading public University in Mexico. They had to log into the system with their email to identify participation. Thus, subjects were invited to participate through press announcements and conferences on several media. The inclusion criteria were to accomplish the legal age and reside in Mexico. The exclusion criteria must be under 17 years old or a healthcare provider. We also considered the criteria for internet E-surveys such as data protection, development, testing, contact mode, advertising the survey, mandatory, voluntary, completion rate, cookies used, IP check, log file analysis, registration, and atypical timestamp considerations (Eysenbach, 2004). Therefore, since the technological system does not allow unresponsive rates, $100 \%$ of the subjects were volunteers who completed the questionnaire. As a result of this quota data collection, the sample was not homogeneous. Thus, the average age of the subjects was 34.38 years ( $S D=12.28$; range $=18-85$ ), $65.82 \%$ were women (12,578), $29.6 \%$ were in lockdown $(5,660), 39.8 \%$ were in partial lockdown $(7,611)$, and $30.60 \%$ were not in lockdown $(5,838)$. Moreover, $19.37 \%$ were aged between 20 and $24(3,702)$, and $14.75 \%$ were seeking remote psychological care $(2,819)$. Table 1 shows the distribution of the sample by sex, age, and psychological care-seeking.

Subjects agreed to answer the survey in accordance with the privacy policies established in the General Protection of Personal Information in the Possession of Obligated Parties Act (Spanish Acronym LGPDPPSO, 2017) and the General Office of the Community Care Guidelines of the National Autonomous University of Mexico (Spanish Acronym DGACO-UNAM). Data were asymmetrically encrypted in the WebApp. The database was held in the official university domain, with security locks to protect the information and guarantee their management in keeping with the subjects' informed consent.

In the informed consent form, researchers told subjects that confidentiality would be maintained by calculating general averages. Subjects were informed that their data would be used for epidemiological research and that they had the right to decline the use of their information and drop out at any point in the study. Immediate feedback was supplied in the form of psychoeducational tools (infographics, videos, and Moodle $\circledR^{\circledR}$ courses on COVID-19, selfcare, relaxation techniques, problem-solving, and socioemotional management skills). Phone numbers were provided to obtain remote psychological care from the Health Ministry and the UNAM Services. Finally, the benefits of accessing the WebApp or calling for help with dealing with mental health conditions were described. A data section, in which subjects could give their phone number or email so that they could be contacted, was included to enable
Table 1 Subjects distributed by sex, age, and psychological care-seeking groups

| Age | Not psychological care-seeking |  |  |  |  |  | Psychological care-seeking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Men |  | Women |  | Subtotal |  | Men |  | Women |  | Subtotal |  | Men |  | Women |  | Subtotal |  |
|  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |
| 18-19 | 444 | 37.53 | 739 | 62.47 | 1183 | 7.26 | 56 | 22.67 | 191 | 77.33 | 247 | 8.76 | 500 | 34.97 | 930 | 65.04 | 1430 | 7.48 |
| 20-24 | 990 | 33.09 | 2002 | 66.91 | 2992 | 18.37 | 195 | 27.46 | 515 | 72.54 | 710 | 25.19 | 1185 | 32.01 | 2517 | 67.99 | 3702 | 19.37 |
| 25-29 | 824 | 33.91 | 1606 | 66.09 | 2430 | 14.92 | 149 | 31.04 | 331 | 68.96 | 480 | 17.03 | 973 | 33.44 | 1937 | 66.56 | 2910 | 15.23 |
| 30-34 | 827 | 35.31 | 1515 | 64.69 | 2342 | 14.38 | 95 | 28.61 | 237 | 71.39 | 332 | 11.78 | 922 | 34.48 | 1752 | 65.52 | 2674 | 13.99 |
| 35-39 | 717 | 34.44 | 1365 | 65.56 | 2082 | 12.78 | 79 | 29.92 | 185 | 70.08 | 264 | 9.37 | 796 | 33.93 | 1550 | 66.07 | 2346 | 12.28 |
| 40-44 | 583 | 35.46 | 1061 | 64.54 | 1644 | 10.09 | 68 | 29.57 | 162 | 70.43 | 230 | 8.16 | 651 | 34.74 | 1223 | 65.26 | 1874 | 9.81 |
| 45-49 | 495 | 34.86 | 925 | 65.14 | 1420 | 8.72 | 55 | 26.44 | 153 | 73.56 | 208 | 7.38 | 550 | 33.78 | 1078 | 66.22 | 1628 | 8.52 |
| 50-54 | 344 | 34.89 | 642 | 65.11 | 986 | 6.05 | 42 | 32.31 | 88 | 67.69 | 130 | 4.61 | 386 | 34.59 | 730 | 65.41 | 1116 | 5.84 |
| 55 or over | 507 | 41.87 | 704 | 58.13 | 1211 | 7.43 | 61 | 27.98 | 157 | 72.02 | 218 | 7.73 | 568 | 39.75 | 861 | 60.25 | 1429 | 7.48 |
| Total | 5731 | 35.18 | 10559 | 64.82 | 16290 | 85.25 | 800 | 28.38 | 2019 | 71.62 | 2819 | 14.75 | 6531 | 34.18 | 12578 | 65.82 | 19109 | 100.00 |

The table shows the number and percentage of men and women and whether they were seeking psychological care in five-year cohorts
them to request remote psychological care. The protocol was approved by the UNAM Psychology Faculty Ethics Committee on Applied Research on October 16, 2020.

## Instruments

The WebApp was programmed through Linux®, ${ }^{\circledR}$ PHP®, HTML®, CSS®, and JavaScript ${ }^{\circledR}$ software. First, we included the sociodemographic section asking about sex, age, lockdown condition, and remote psychological care-seeking (Morales-Chainé et al., 2022). We included categorical responses for subjects to identify as men or women, lockdown condition (totally, partially [working or going to the supermarket] or not at all lockdown), and indicate whether they were seeking psychological care. Thus, we programmed the ASSIST in the WebApp (The Alcohol, Smoking and Substance Involvement Screening Test (ASSIST-WHO), 2010; Tiburcio et al., 2016).

The ASSIST section enabled us to determine the risk level for ten groups of psychoactive substances: tobacco (cigarettes, chewing tobacco, and cigars), alcoholic beverages (beer, wine, spirits), cannabis (marijuana, pot, grass, and hash), cocaine (coke, crack), amphetamine-type stimulants (speed, meth, and ecstasy), inhalants (nitrous, glue, petrol, paint, and thinner), sedatives or sleeping pills (diazepam, alprazolam, flunitrazepam, and midazolam), hallucinogens (LSD, acid, mushrooms, trips, and ketamine), opioids (heroin, morphine, methadone, buprenorphine, and codeine), and other drugs.

ASSIST consists of eight questions that screen for substance use: (1) lifetime use; (2) use in the past three months; (3) having a strong desire to use the drug in question; (4) health, social, legal, or financial problems; (5) failing to do what is expected because of the use of the drug in question; (6) other expressions of concern about the use of the drug in question; (7) attempts to reduce use of the drug in question; and (8) injecting any drug (non-medical use only). The first item has dichotomous options: yes (1) or no (0). Items two to five have a five-option-response: never, once or twice, monthly, weekly, and daily or almost daily. The score for each substance is calculated by adding the answers to questions two to seven. Neither question five on tobacco nor questions one or eight is used to calculate the score.

ASSIST has shown good validity and reliability coefficients for tobacco ( $\alpha=0.83$ ), alcohol ( $\alpha=0.76$ ), and cannabis ( $\alpha=0.73$; Tiburcio et al, 2016). Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) found a good factor structure for tobacco ( $X^{2}[3]=37,792, p=0.28631$; $R M S E A=0.016 ; C F I=0.999 ; C 190 \% R M S E A=0.000-0.057$ ). CFA also indicated a good factor structure for alcohol $\left(X^{2}[7]=39,479, p=0.78576 ; R M S E A=0.000 ; C F I=1.000\right.$; CI90\% RMSEA $=0.000-0.025$ ).

In this study, our WebApp was linked to a feedback algorithm, referring to brief counseling (a score of $0-10$ for alcohol or $0-3$ for other drugs), intervention (10 to 26 for alcohol and 3 to 26 for other drugs), or more intensive treatment (up to 27 for all substances), following WHO guidelines (2010). In addition, the WebApp was programmed to display a section in which subjects were advised to seek psychological care. To obtain the service, subjects had to sign in, share their phone number or email, and select their preferred schedule to be contacted by an addiction psychology specialist.

## Data Analysis

The statistical procedure involved several analytical steps. We examined the dimensionality of ASSIST to provide construct validity evidence. We used a 10 -factor confirmatory factor
analysis model (CFA) incorporating maximum likelihood to continuous variable data as an estimation method (Elhai \& Palmieri, 2011). We considered tobacco, alcohol, cannabis, cocaine, stimulants, inhalants, sedatives, hallucinogens, opioids, and others as factors. We adjusted dimensional models to each factor of interest. The overall fit of the models was assessed using the chi-square goodness of fit test. Since the chi-square goodness of fit test is over-sensitive to large sample sizes, more emphasis was given to the CFI, TLI, RMSEA, and SRMR fit indices. Models with CFI and TLI with values over 0.90 and RMSEA and SRMR with values under 0.08 and 0.06 , respectively, were considered indicators of adequate data fit (Browne \& Cudeck, 1993; West et al., 2012). The second step involved examining the reliability of the scale using the Cronbach's Alpha test.

The third step entailed analyzing the distribution of subjects in relation to lifetime substance use by sex, age, and lockdown condition. The fourth step also required calculating each risk-substance score and recodifying each mean value into a discrete variable, recommended by the The Alcohol Smoking and Substance Involvement Screening Test (ASSISTWHO) (2010): low ( $0-10$ for alcohol and $0-3$ for other drugs-brief counseling), moderate (11 to 26 for alcohol and 4 to 26 for other drugs-brief intervention), or high (up to 27 for all substances-more intensive treatment). We therefore compared the distribution of subjects by risk level and psychological care-seeking. The chi-square test was calculated, considering $p$ values under 0.05 , to describe the statistical difference between groups. All analyses were conducted in RSTUDIO® 1.4.1106 and IBM® SPSS 25.0 software.

## Findings

## Confirmatory Factor Analysis

Results from the ten-factor model and for each dimension model are shown in Table 2. In general, the data fit for the whole sample was adequate, with an $X^{2}(1,583)=50,863.65$, $p<0.001$, a $R M S E A=0.040$, a $S R M R=0.032$, a $C F I=0.920$, and a $T L I=0.913$, after 491 iterations. Table 2 also shows Cronbach's coefficients for the total sample and the ASSIST dimensions. As can be seen, reliability values fluctuated between 0.80 for the alcohol dimension and 0.91 for stimulants.

The different degrees of freedom values ( $d f$ ) observed in Table 2 refer to the modification indices (MI) in the CFA, indicating that it was necessary to add a correlation between some items and obtain a structure factor model with a good fit. First, we correlated items (2) ...how often have you used... and (3) ... had a strong desire or urge to use with the tobacco, alcohol, cannabis, stimulants, inhalants, sedatives, hallucinogens, and other drug dimensions. Second, we correlated items (4) ... how often has your use led to health, social, legal, or financial problems? and (5) ... have you failed to do what was normally expected of you because of your use... with the cannabis, sedatives and opioids, and other drug dimensions. Third, we correlated items (6) ... has a friend or relative or anyone else ever expressed concern about your use... and (7) ... have you ever tried to cut down on using with the cocaine, stimulants, inhalants, and hallucinogens dimensions.

The MI also suggested adding a correlation between items: (5) ... have you failed to do what was normally expected of you... and (7) ...you ever tried to cut down on using... but failed?, between (2) ... how often have you used substances... and (4) ... has your use led to health ... or financial problems?, and between (3) ...have you had a strong desire or

Table 2 ASSIST ten-factor model and by-dimension fit indices, chi-square results, and Cronbach's alpha coefficients

|  | $X^{2}$ | $d f$ | $p \leq$ | RMSEA | SRMR | CFI | TLI | Cronbach $^{\prime}$ alph |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tobacco | 301.051 | 4 | 0.001 | 0.062 | 0.014 | 0.994 | 0.985 | 0.85 |
| Alcohol | 506.945 | 8 | 0.001 | 0.057 | 0.020 | 0.986 | 0.973 | 0.80 |
| Cannabis | 294.768 | 7 | 0.001 | 0.046 | 0.015 | 0.994 | 0.987 | 0.84 |
| Cocaine | 926.589 | 8 | 0.001 | 0.078 | 0.014 | 0.988 | 0.977 | 0.89 |
| Stimulants | 242.728 | 5 | 0.001 | 0.050 | 0.009 | 0.997 | 0.991 | 0.91 |
| Inhalants | 436.077 | 5 | 0.001 | 0.067 | 0.016 | 0.991 | 0.974 | 0.81 |
| Sedatives | 600.599 | 7 | 0.001 | 0.067 | 0.021 | 0.988 | 0.974 | 0.85 |
| Hallucinogens | 857.115 | 7 | 0.001 | 0.080 | 0.028 | 0.979 | 0.955 | 0.81 |
| Opiods | 292.090 | 5 | 0.001 | 0.055 | 0.012 | 0.996 | 0.987 | 0.88 |
| Others | 733.908 | 6 | 0.001 | 0.080 | 0.021 | 0.986 | 0.965 | 0.85 |
| Overall CFA | 50863.651 | 1583 | 0.001 | 0.040 | 0.032 | 0.920 | 0.913 | 0.86 |

This table shows chi-square values by degrees of freedom below 0.0001 . It also represents values of fitted indexes for the CFA models by dimension. All Cronbach's alphas were obtained for coefficients over 0.80
urge to... and (5) ... have you failed to do what was normally expected of you because of your use of... ? for the opioid dimension.

Moreover, the MI indicated adding a correlation between items: (2) ...how often have you used substances... and (7) ... have you ever tried to cut down ... but failed? and between (3) ...have you had a strong desire or urge to... and (7) ... have you ever tried to cut down on using... but failed? for the stimulant dimension.

The MI indicated adding a correlation between items: (3) ... have you had a strong desire or urge to... and (4) ... has your use led to health...or financial problems? and (2) ... how often have you used substances and (6) Has a friend ... ever expressed concern about your use for the inhalants dimension.

Finally, the MI indicated adding a correlation between items: (2) ... have you used substances and (7) ... have you ever tried to cut down... but failed? for the other drugs dimension.

Essentially, the adequate fit model showed factor loadings $>0.40$ for all the ASSIST dimensions (see Appendix A).

## Lifetime Use of Psychoactive Substances

The percentage of subjects who reported lifetime psychoactive substance use by age, sex, and lockdown condition in the total sample is shown in Tables 3 and 4. Worldwide, as can be seen in Tables 3 and 4, 43.06\% of subjects reported lifetime use of tobacco, $72.34 \%$ of alcohol, $19.52 \%$ of cannabis, and $9.59 \%$ of sedatives.

Table 3 shows that, according to the chi-square test, more almost-all-age groups men than women used tobacco, alcohol, cannabis, and cocaine ( $X^{2}[1]>3.58, p<0.05$ ). However, similar proportions of 18 to 19 -year-old men and women reported lifetime tobacco, alcohol, and cocaine use. Interestingly, a high proportion of 18-34 and over-45-year-old women reported sedative use. At the same time, a high number of men aged 25 to 44 reported stimulant use, a high number of men aged 20 to 39 , 45 to 49 , and 55 or over reported inhalant use, and a high number of men aged between 20 and 44 or over 55 reported the use of hallucinogens.
Table 3 Distribution of lifetime psychoactive substance use by age and sex, in the total sample

| Scales | Age | Total |  |  |  |  |  | Scales | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Men |  | Women |  | Total |  |  | Men |  | Women |  | Total |  |
|  |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |
| Tobacco | 18-19 | 156 | 31.20 | 292 | 31.40 | 448 | 31.33 | Inhalants | 3 | 0.60 | 9 | 0.97 | 12 | 0.84 |
|  | 20-24 | 602 | 50.80 | 1004 | 39.89 | 1606 | 43.38 |  | 24 | 2.03 | 20 | 0.79 | 44 | 1.19 |
|  | 25-29 | 541 | 55.60 | 841 | 43.42 | 1382 | 47.49 |  | 26 | 2.67 | 26 | 1.34 | 52 | 1.79 |
|  | 30-34 | 516 | 55.97 | 758 | 43.26 | 1274 | 47.64 |  | 21 | 2.28 | 20 | 1.14 | 41 | 1.53 |
|  | 35-39 | 472 | 59.30 | 660 | 42.58 | 1132 | 48.25 |  | 15 | 1.88 | 4 | 0.26 | 19 | 0.81 |
|  | 40-44 | 347 | 53.30 | 469 | 38.35 | 816 | 43.54 |  | 5 | 0.77 | 2 | 0.16 | 7 | 0.37 |
|  | 45-49 | 268 | 48.73 | 368 | 34.14 | 636 | 39.07 |  | 5 | 0.91 | 0 | 0.00 | 5 | 0.31 |
|  | 50-54 | 158 | 40.93 | 220 | 30.14 | 378 | 33.87 |  | 3 | 0.78 | 1 | 0.14 | 4 | 0.36 |
|  | 55 o more | 266 | 46.83 | 291 | 33.80 | 557 | 38.98 |  | 6 | 1.06 | 1 | 0.12 | 7 | 0.49 |
|  | Total | 3326 | 50.93 | 4903 | 38.98 | 8229 | 43.06 |  | 108 | 1.65 | 83 | 0.66 | 191 | 1.00 |
| Alcohol | 18-19 | 301 | 60.20 | 567 | 60.97 | 868 | 60.70 | Sedatives | 16 | 3.20 | 69 | 7.42 | 85 | 5.94 |
|  | 20-24 | 910 | 76.79 | 1860 | 73.90 | 2770 | 74.82 |  | 80 | 6.75 | 219 | 8.70 | 299 | 8.08 |
|  | 25-29 | 786 | 80.78 | 1489 | 76.87 | 2275 | 78.18 |  | 81 | 8.32 | 226 | 11.67 | 307 | 10.55 |
|  | 30-34 | 765 | 82.97 | 1347 | 76.88 | 2112 | 78.98 |  | 68 | 7.38 | 173 | 9.87 | 241 | 9.01 |
|  | 35-39 | 649 | 81.53 | 1146 | 73.94 | 1795 | 76.51 |  | 79 | 9.92 | 160 | 10.32 | 239 | 10.19 |
|  | 40-44 | 506 | 77.73 | 825 | 67.46 | 1331 | 71.02 |  | 67 | 10.29 | 122 | 9.98 | 189 | 10.09 |
|  | 45-49 | 419 | 76.18 | 663 | 61.50 | 1082 | 66.46 |  | 48 | 8.73 | 133 | 12.34 | 181 | 11.12 |
|  | $50-54$ | 274 | 70.98 | 407 | 55.75 | 681 | 61.02 |  | 34 | 8.81 | 81 | 11.10 | 115 | 10.30 |
|  | 55 o more | 439 | 77.29 | 471 | 54.70 | 910 | 63.68 |  | 57 | 10.04 | 120 | 13.94 | 177 | 12.39 |
|  | Total | 5049 | 77.31 | 8775 | 69.76 | 13824 | 72.34 |  | 530 | 8.12 | 1303 | 10.36 | 1833 | 9.59 |

Table 3 (continued)

| Scales | Age | Total |  |  |  |  |  | Scales | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Men |  | Women |  | Total |  |  | Men |  | Women |  | Total |  |
|  |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |
| Cannabis | 18-19 | 103 | 20.60 | 151 | 16.24 | 254 | 17.76 | Hallucinogens | 22 | 4.40 | 27 | 2.90 | 49 | 3.43 |
|  | 20-24 | 368 | 31.05 | 572 | 22.73 | 940 | 25.39 |  | 91 | 7.68 | 109 | 4.33 | 200 | 5.40 |
|  | 25-29 | 336 | 34.53 | 485 | 25.04 | 821 | 28.21 |  | 77 | 7.91 | 86 | 4.44 | 163 | 5.60 |
|  | 30-34 | 274 | 29.72 | 406 | 23.17 | 680 | 25.43 |  | 63 | 6.83 | 58 | 3.31 | 121 | 4.53 |
|  | 35-39 | 221 | 27.76 | 222 | 14.32 | 443 | 18.88 |  | 49 | 6.16 | 36 | 2.32 | 85 | 3.62 |
|  | 40-44 | 112 | 17.20 | 136 | 11.12 | 248 | 13.23 |  | 26 | 3.99 | 22 | 1.80 | 48 | 2.56 |
|  | 45-49 | 82 | 14.91 | 86 | 7.98 | 168 | 10.32 |  | 12 | 2.18 | 13 | 1.21 | 25 | 1.54 |
|  | 50-54 | 39 | 10.10 | 41 | 5.62 | 80 | 7.17 |  | 1 | 0.26 | 9 | 1.23 | 10 | 0.90 |
|  | 55 o more | 63 | 11.09 | 33 | 3.83 | 96 | 6.72 |  | 43 | 7.57 | 1 | 0.12 | 44 | 3.08 |
|  | Total | 1598 | 24.47 | 2132 | 16.95 | 3730 | 19.52 |  | 384 | 5.88 | 361 | 2.87 | 745 | 3.90 |
| Cocaine | 18-19 | 15 | 3.00 | 16 | 1.72 | 31 | 2.17 | Opioids | 2 | 0.40 | 3 | 0.32 | 5 | 0.35 |
|  | 20-24 | 66 | 5.57 | 81 | 3.22 | 147 | 3.97 |  | 9 | 0.76 | 9 | 0.36 | 18 | 0.49 |
|  | 25-29 | 88 | 9.04 | 88 | 4.54 | 176 | 6.05 |  | 8 | 0.82 | 6 | 0.31 | 14 | 0.48 |
|  | 30-34 | 75 | 8.13 | 63 | 3.60 | 138 | 5.16 |  | 4 | 0.43 | 7 | 0.40 | 11 | 0.41 |
|  | 35-39 | 78 | 9.80 | 43 | 2.77 | 121 | 5.16 |  | 2 | 0.25 | 3 | 0.19 | 5 | 0.21 |
|  | 40-44 | 64 | 9.83 | 30 | 2.45 | 94 | 5.02 |  | 3 | 0.46 | 3 | 0.25 | 6 | 0.32 |
|  | 45-49 | 49 | 8.91 | 15 | 1.39 | 64 | 3.93 |  | 2 | 0.36 | 3 | 0.28 | 5 | 0.31 |
|  | 50-54 | 13 | 3.37 | 10 | 1.37 | 23 | 2.06 |  | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.14 | 1 | 0.09 |
|  | 55 o more | 15 | 2.64 | 2 | 0.23 | 17 | 1.19 |  | 1 | 0.18 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.07 |
|  | Total | 463 | 7.09 | 348 | 2.77 | 811 | 4.24 |  | 31 | 0.47 | 35 | 0.28 | 66 | 0.35 |

Table 3 (continued)

| Scales | Age | Total |  |  |  |  |  | Scales | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Men |  | Women |  | Total |  |  | Men |  | Women |  | Total |  |
|  |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |
| Stimulants | 18-19 | 3 | 0.60 | 8 | 0.86 | 11 | 0.77 | Other | 11 | 2.20 | 28 | 3.01 | 39 | 2.73 |
|  | 20-24 | 24 | 2.03 | 35 | 1.39 | 59 | 1.59 |  | 43 | 3.63 | 75 | 2.98 | 118 | 3.19 |
|  | 25-29 | 42 | 4.32 | 46 | 2.37 | 88 | 3.02 |  | 35 | 3.60 | 57 | 2.94 | 92 | 3.16 |
|  | 30-34 | 40 | 4.34 | 44 | 2.51 | 84 | 3.14 |  | 28 | 3.04 | 44 | 2.51 | 72 | 2.69 |
|  | 35-39 | 32 | 4.02 | 28 | 1.81 | 60 | 2.56 |  | 37 | 4.65 | 47 | 3.03 | 84 | 3.58 |
|  | 40-44 | 22 | 3.38 | 20 | 1.64 | 42 | 2.24 |  | 25 | 3.84 | 42 | 3.43 | 67 | 3.58 |
|  | 45-49 | 12 | 2.18 | 15 | 1.39 | 27 | 1.66 |  | 19 | 3.45 | 34 | 3.15 | 53 | 3.26 |
|  | 50-54 | 2 | 0.52 | 6 | 0.82 | 8 | 0.72 |  | 7 | 1.81 | 17 | 2.33 | 24 | 2.15 |
|  | 55 o more | 5 | 0.88 | 5 | 0.58 | 10 | 0.70 |  | 10 | 1.76 | 14 | 1.63 | 24 | 1.68 |
|  | Total | 182 | 2.79 | 207 | 1.65 | 389 | 2.04 |  | 215 | 3.29 | 358 | 2.85 | 573 | 3.00 | This table shows the number and percentage of subjects, who reported lifetime substance use by drug. It also presents the number and percentage of men and women by lifetime drug use. Bold numbers show a significant difference in the $X^{2}$ test with one degree of freedom for groups compared by sex and a $p<0.05$.

Table 4 Distribution of lifetime psychoactive substance use by age and lockdown condition, in the total sample

| Scales | Age | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Scales | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lockdown |  | Partially |  | Not Lockdown |  | Total |  |  | Lockdown |  | Partially |  | Not Lockdown |  | Total |  |
|  |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |
| Tobacco | 18-19 | 237 | 52.90 | 153 | 34.15 | 58 | 12.95 | 448 | 31.33 | Inhalants | 8 | 66.70 | 3 | 25.00 | 1 | 8.30 | 12 | 0.84 |
|  | 20-24 | 630 | 39.20 | 684 | 42.60 | 292 | 18.20 | 1606 | 43.38 |  | 12 | 27.30 | 22 | 50.00 | 10 | 22.70 | 44 | 1.19 |
|  | 25-29 | 341 | 24.70 | 645 | 46.70 | 396 | 28.70 | 1382 | 47.49 |  | 11 | 21.20 | 19 | 36.50 | 22 | 42.30 | 52 | 1.79 |
|  | 30-34 | 277 | 21.70 | 614 | 48.20 | 383 | 30.10 | 1274 | 47.64 |  | 11 | 26.80 | 14 | 34.10 | 16 | 39.00 | 41 | 1.53 |
|  | 35-39 | 231 | 20.40 | 528 | 46.60 | 373 | 33.00 | 1132 | 48.25 |  | 2 | 10.50 | 12 | 63.20 | 5 | 26.30 | 19 | 0.81 |
|  | 40-44 | 145 | 17.80 | 394 | 48.30 | 277 | 33.90 | 816 | 43.54 |  | 2 | 28.60 | 2 | 28.60 | 3 | 42.90 | 7 | 0.37 |
|  | 45-49 | 119 | 18.70 | 270 | 42.50 | 247 | 38.80 | 636 | 39.07 |  | 0 | 0.00 | 3 | 60.00 | 2 | 40.00 | 5 | 0.31 |
|  | 50-54 | 68 | 18.00 | 157 | 41.50 | 153 | 40.50 | 378 | 33.87 |  | 1 | 25.00 | 1 | 25.00 | 2 | 50.00 | 4 | 0.36 |
|  | 55 o more | 123 | 22.10 | 207 | 37.20 | 227 | 40.80 | 557 | 38.98 |  | 0 | 0.00 | 4 | 57.10 | 3 | 42.90 | 7 | 0.49 |
|  | Total | 2171 | 26.40 | 3652 | 44.40 | 2406 | 29.20 | 8229 | 43.06 |  | 47 | 24.60 | 80 | 41.90 | 64 | 33.50 | 191 | 1.00 |
| Alcohol | 18-19 | 469 | 57.10 | 261 | 30.10 | 111 | 12.80 | 868 | 60.70 | Sedatives | 44 | 51.80 | 36 | 42.40 | 5 | 5.90 | 85 | 5.94 |
|  | 20-24 | 1187 | 42.90 | 1088 | 39.30 | 495 | 17.90 | 2770 | 74.82 |  | 125 | 41.80 | 126 | 42.10 | 48 | 16.10 | 299 | 8.08 |
|  | 25-29 | 584 | 25.70 | 1081 | 47.50 | 610 | 26.80 | 2275 | 78.18 |  | 89 | 29.00 | 152 | 49.50 | 66 | 21.50 | 307 | 10.55 |
|  | 30-34 | 499 | 23.60 | 1012 | 47.90 | 601 | 28.50 | 2112 | 78.98 |  | 70 | 29.00 | 120 | 49.80 | 51 | 21.20 | 241 | 9.01 |
|  | 35-39 | 385 | 21.40 | 826 | 46.00 | 584 | 32.50 | 1795 | 76.51 |  | 52 | 21.80 | 119 | 49.80 | 68 | 28.50 | 239 | 10.19 |
|  | 40-44 | 280 | 21.00 | 612 | 46.00 | 439 | 33.00 | 1331 | 71.02 |  | 43 | 22.80 | 99 | 52.40 | 47 | 24.90 | 189 | 10.09 |
|  | 45-49 | 203 | 18.80 | 462 | 42.70 | 417 | 38.50 | 1082 | 66.46 |  | 39 | 21.50 | 73 | 40.30 | 69 | 38.10 | 181 | 11.12 |
|  | 50-54 | 114 | 16.70 | 280 | 41.10 | 287 | 42.10 | 681 | 61.02 |  | 17 | 14.80 | 50 | 43.50 | 48 | 41.70 | 115 | 10.30 |
|  | 55 o more | 175 | 19.20 | 331 | 36.40 | 404 | 44.40 | 910 | 63.68 |  | 45 | 25.40 | 61 | 34.50 | 71 | 40.10 | 177 | 12.39 |
|  | Total | 3923 | 28.40 | 5953 | 43.10 | 3948 | 28.60 | 13824 | 72.34 |  | 524 | 28.60 | 836 | 45.60 | 473 | 25.80 | 1833 | 9.59 |

Table 4 (continued)

| Scales | Age | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Scales | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lockdown |  | Partially |  | Not Lockdown |  | Total |  |  | Lockdown |  | Partially |  | Not Lockdown |  | Total |  |
|  |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |
| Cannabis | 18-19 | 139 | 54.70 | 88 | 34.60 | 27 | 10.60 | 254 | 17.76 | Hallucinogens | 29 | 59.20 | 18 | 36.70 | 2 | 4.10 | 49 | 3.43 |
|  | 20-24 | 367 | 39.00 | 419 | 44.60 | 154 | 16.40 | 940 | 25.39 |  | 68 | 34.00 | 96 | 48.00 | 36 | 18.00 | 200 | 5.40 |
|  | 25-29 | 216 | 26.30 | 412 | 50.20 | 193 | 23.50 | 821 | 28.21 |  | 47 | 28.80 | 80 | 49.10 | 36 | 22.10 | 163 | 5.60 |
|  | 30-34 | 162 | 23.80 | 350 | 21.50 | 168 | 24.70 | 680 | 25.43 |  | 32 | 26.40 | 67 | 55.40 | 22 | 18.20 | 121 | 4.53 |
|  | 35-39 | 100 | 22.60 | 233 | 52.60 | 110 | 24.80 | 443 | 18.88 |  | 18 | 21.20 | 48 | 56.50 | 19 | 22.40 | 85 | 3.62 |
|  | 40-44 | 56 | 22.60 | 126 | 50.80 | 66 | 26.60 | 248 | 13.23 |  | 15 | 31.30 | 25 | 52.10 | 8 | 16.70 | 48 | 2.56 |
|  | 45-49 | 42 | 25.00 | 79 | 47.00 | 47 | 28.00 | 168 | 10.32 |  | 4 | 16.00 | 15 | 60.00 | 6 | 24.00 | 25 | 1.54 |
|  | 50-54 | 15 | 18.80 | 41 | 51.20 | 24 | 30.00 | 80 | 7.17 |  | 2 | 20.00 | 6 | 60.00 | 2 | 20.00 | 10 | 0.90 |
|  | 55 o more | 21 | 21.90 | 43 | 44.80 | 32 | 33.30 | 96 | 6.72 |  | 1 | 2.30 | 24 | 54.50 | 19 | 43.20 | 44 | 3.08 |
|  | Total | 1118 | 30.00 | 1791 | 48.00 | 821 | 22.00 | 3730 | 19.52 |  | 216 | 29.00 | 379 | 50.90 | 150 | 20.10 | 745 | 3.90 |

Table 4 (continued)

| Scales | Age | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Scales | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lockdown |  | Partially |  | Not Lockdown |  | Total |  |  | Lockdown |  | Partially |  | Not Lockdown |  | Total |  |
|  |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |
| Cocaine | 18-19 | 16 | 51.60 | 12 | 38.70 | 3 | 9.70 | 31 | 2.17 | Opioids | 3 | 60.00 | 2 | 40.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 5 | 0.35 |
|  | 20-24 | 42 | 28.60 | 67 | 45.60 | 38 | 25.90 | 147 | 3.97 |  | 7 | 38.90 | 5 | 27.80 | 6 | 33.30 | 18 | 0.49 |
|  | 25-29 | 40 | 22.70 | 82 | 46.60 | 54 | 30.70 | 176 | 6.05 |  | 7 | 50.00 | 6 | 42.90 | 1 | 7.10 | 14 | 0.48 |
|  | 30-34 | 39 | 28.30 | 61 | 44.20 | 38 | 27.50 | 138 | 5.16 |  | 3 | 27.30 | 5 | 45.50 | 3 | 27.30 | 11 | 0.41 |
|  | 35-39 | 22 | 18.20 | 57 | 47.10 | 42 | 34.70 | 121 | 5.16 |  | 2 | 40.00 | 3 | 60.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 5 | 0.21 |
|  | 40-44 | 15 | 16.00 | 50 | 53.20 | 29 | 30.90 | 94 | 5.02 |  | 2 | 33.30 | 2 | 33.30 | 2 | 33.30 | 6 | 0.32 |
|  | 45-49 | 5 | 7.80 | 42 | 65.60 | 17 | 26.60 | 64 | 3.93 |  | 1 | 20.00 | 3 | 60.00 | 1 | 20.00 | 5 | 0.31 |
|  | 50-54 | 3 | 13.00 | 13 | 56.50 | 7 | 30.40 | 23 | 2.06 |  | 1 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.09 |
|  | 55 o more | 2 | 11.80 | 7 | 41.20 | 8 | 47.10 | 17 | 1.19 |  | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 100.00 | 1 | 0.07 |
|  | Total | 184 | 22.70 | 391 | 48.20 | 236 | 29.10 | 811 | 4.24 |  | 26 | 39.40 | 26 | 39.40 | 14 | 21.20 | 66 | 0.35 |

Table 4 (continued)

| Scales | Age | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Scales | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lockdown |  | Partially |  | Not Lockdown |  | Total |  |  | Lockdown |  | Partially |  | Not Lockdown |  | Total |  |
|  |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |
| Stimulants | 18-19 | 4 | 36.40 | 4 | 36.40 | 3 | 27.30 | 11 | 0.77 | Other | 26 | 66.70 | 11 | 28.20 | 2 | 5.10 | 39 | 2.73 |
|  | 20-24 | 16 | 27.10 | 28 | 47.50 | 15 | 25.40 | 59 | 1.59 |  | 58 | 49.20 | 38 | 32.20 | 22 | 18.60 | 118 | 3.19 |
|  | 25-29 | 20 | 22.70 | 41 | 46.60 | 27 | 30.70 | 88 | 3.02 |  | 29 | 31.50 | 44 | 47.80 | 19 | 20.70 | 92 | 3.16 |
|  | 30-34 | 23 | 27.40 | 42 | 50.00 | 19 | 22.60 | 84 | 3.14 |  | 19 | 26.40 | 39 | 54.20 | 14 | 19.40 | 72 | 2.69 |
|  | 35-39 | 12 | 20.00 | 30 | 50.00 | 18 | 30.00 | 60 | 2.56 |  | 13 | 15.50 | 38 | 45.20 | 33 | 39.30 | 84 | 3.58 |
|  | 40-44 | 9 | 21.40 | 24 | 57.10 | 9 | 21.40 | 42 | 2.24 |  | 17 | 25.40 | 24 | 35.80 | 26 | 38.80 | 67 | 3.58 |
|  | 45-49 | 6 | 22.20 | 13 | 48.10 | 8 | 29.60 | 27 | 1.66 |  | 9 | 17.00 | 32 | 60.40 | 12 | 22.60 | 53 | 3.26 |
|  | 50-54 | 3 | 37.50 | 3 | 37.50 | 2 | 25.00 | 8 | 0.72 |  | 8 | 33.30 | 11 | 45.80 | 5 | 20.80 | 24 | 2.15 |
|  | 55 o more | 0 | 0.00 | 6 | 60.00 | 4 | 40.00 | 10 | 0.70 |  | 5 | 20.80 | 11 | 45.80 | 8 | 33.30 | 24 | 1.68 |
|  | Total | 93 | 23.90 | 191 | 49.10 | 105 | 27.00 | 389 | 2.04 |  | 184 | 32.10 | 248 | 53.30 | 141 | 24.60 | 573 | 3.00 |

This table shows the number and percentage of subjects, who reported lifetime substance use by drug. It also presents the number and percentage of participants by lockdown condition and lifetime drug use. Bold numbers show a significant difference in the $X^{2}$ test with two degree of freedom for groups compared by lockdown condition and a $p<0.05$

Furthermore, more almost-all-age participants, partially in lockdown, used tobacco, alcohol, cannabis, cocaine, stimulants, sedatives, hallucinogens, and other drugs than the rest of the groups (according to chi-square test, $X^{2}[2]>10.94, p<0.05$; see Table 4). However, similar proportions of almost-all-age lockdown conditions groups reported lifetime inhalants and opioid use.

## Substance Use Risk Levels

Figure 1 shows the distribution of subjects by psychological care-seeking, risk level, and type of intervention required (The Alcohol, Smoking and Substance Involvement Screening Test (ASSIST-WHO), 2010). The chi-square test indicated the different distribution of subjects for all substances ( $X^{2}[2]>8.14, p<0.05$ ), except for opioids. The number of not-at-risk subjects not seeking psychological care was proportionally higher (no intervention required) than that of subjects needing care who were seeking help. For example, $2.20 \%$ of subjects seeking psychological care proved to be at a higher risk because of their tobacco use, while $1.19 \%$ of subjects who did not seek help required intensive care treatment. Likewise, $29.12 \%$ of subjects seeking psychological care required brief intervention as opposed to $22.35 \%$ of subjects who did not seek help yet had a similar risk level because of their tobacco use. More subjects seeking help also needed brief or intensive treatment than those who did not seek psychological care. In other words, a high proportion of subjects proved to be at moderate to high risk because of their alcohol, cannabis, or cocaine use when they were seeking psychological care.

Figure 1 also shows that the proportion of subjects requiring and seeking psychological care because of their use of stimulants, inhalants, sedatives, hallucinogens, opioids, and other drugs was less than $2.77 \%$. Appendix B shows the proportions of subjects seeking psychological care by age group and subjects in the total sample, for consultations.

## Discussion

This study provided data on screening for substance abuse risk through the programmed Alcohol, Smoking, and Substance Involvement Screening Test (ASSIST) on an electronic device, by sex, age, lockdown condition, and psychological care-seeking, offered remotely in primary settings during the COVID-19 pandemic. Our findings indicated that it was possible to validate the factor structure of the Alcohol, Smoking, and Substance Involvement Screening Test through the CFA, using the chi-square, and CFI, TLI, RMSEA, and SRMR good index procedure (Browne \& Cudeck, 1993; West et al., 2012). The self-applied ASSIST programmed to be used remotely during the COVID-19 pandemic, just as Adinolfi et al. (2022) found, also yielded robust Cronbach's alpha coefficients. The factor structure of the ASSIST resulted in some items correlating because of the modification indices (MI). Consequently, our findings are consistent with those reported by Tiburcio et al (2016); they validated ten latent variables: risks from tobacco, alcohol, cannabis, cocaine, stimulants, inhalants, sedatives, hallucinogens, opioids, and other drug use.

Validating ASSIST with our sample yielded key facts. First, our findings suggest high proportions of subjects reporting lifetime use of tobacco, alcohol, cannabis, and cocaine. Mellos and Paparrigopoulos (2022) have already signed an increase in alcohol consumption among people with severe alcohol use and an increase in cannabis, nicotine, and cocaine use. So several social and demographic factors might explain the high frequency


Fig. 1 Percentage of subjects seeking psychological care by risk level and type of intervention required for all psychoactive substances. Significant differences by $X^{2}$ test, with two degrees of freedom for comparison groups, were $p<0.05$ for all psychoactive substances, except opioids
of participants using drugs. Mellos and Paparrigopoulos (2022) explained that those with high consumption levels had higher harmful emotionality mechanisms. Layman et al. (2022) suggested an impact of environmental factors which contribute to the odds of drug
use among the population. Then, a high number of participants reporting lifetime drug use is consistent with the GDR-UNODC (2021) and Adinolfi et al. (2022) reference to the tendency to use drugs during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Thus, the percentage of subjects reporting lifetime psychoactive drug use was high for tobacco ( $43.06 \%$ ), alcohol ( $72.34 \%$ ), cannabis ( $19.52 \%$ ), cocaine ( $4.24 \%$ ), sedatives ( $9.59 \%$ ), hallucinogens ( $3.90 \%$ ), and other drugs ( $3.00 \%$ ) in our sample during 2021. These were higher proportions than those reported by Mental Health and Substance Abuse Observer System (MHSAMOS) (2021), Layman et al. (2022), or Mellos and Paparrigopoulos (2022) but down to those reported by Adinolfi et al. (2022). In these reviews, researchers reported variability in the use of practically all psychoactive substances during the COVID-19 pandemic. Layman et al. (2022) reported reductions in use across alcohol, cannabis, tobacco, and other drugs during the pandemic, while Mellos and Paparrigopoulos (2022) reported an increase in people using those drugs. Layman et al. (2022) suggested that drug use variability might result from availability and stress factors. They suggested that people who live in challenging home situations or in resource-limited areas are more likely to be negatively affected by environmental changes and may turn to substance use as a coping mechanism. Additional factors related to increased or decreased drug use are restricted access to worksites, entertainment services, and mandates for physical distancing. Mellos and Paparrigopoulos (2022) referred that intermittent drug availability and trafficking difficulties have led users to search for other substances, increase experimentation and make online purchases high. Therefore, such varied findings suggest studying the factors that increase or decrease drug consumption and the spread of drug use because of the high availability and accessibility during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Besides, our findings also suggest that more men than women over 20 reported lifetime use of tobacco, alcohol, and cocaine, while more men than women over 18 reported cannabis use. These findings are consistent with those reported by Mental Health and Substance Abuse Observer System (MHSAMOS) (2021) but also with some detailed data reported by Adinolfi et al. (2022) regarding lifetime use, where men showed higher percentages of use related to all drugs. Layman et al. (2022) also suggested high use by men while addressing how drug use most often takes place outside the home environment and is dependent on availability and access to substances.

Our findings, nevertheless, indicate that a similar number of 18 to 19 -year-old men and women reported lifetime tobacco, alcohol, and cocaine use. Moreover, more women than men aged 18 to 34 and over 45 reported lifetime sedative use. In that regard, Adinolfi et al. (2022) suggested that men show less variation compared to women. Thus, Layman et al. (2022) suggested that young people and women might show high vulnerability because of social determinants. They suggested that feelings of loneliness because of long-term social isolation and limited opportunities to interact with others, prevalent during COVID-19 in young people and women, might explain our findings about such drug use variability. Layman et al. (2022) suggested that it is essential to monitor the adverse psychological effects of the pandemic on the population, including the significant increase in the prevalence of clinical depression, suicidal ideation, and anxiety, all of which have the potential to contribute to an increased prevalence in substances use. The tendency in women to try tobacco, alcohol, cocaine, and sedatives at least once in their lifetime points to the need to also monitor the counseling, and brief and intensive treatment provided due to the current vulnerability of women. Furthermore, these results suggest that it is essential to consider factors related to stress, anxiety, COVID-19 conditions, violence, and comorbidity, which Layman et al. (2022), Mellos et al. (2022) suggest are related to the use of psychoactive substances such as alcohol, particularly affecting young people and women.

Nonetheless, our results also suggested that partial lockdown was associated with increased participants using drugs in their lifetime. Bommelé et al. (2020) suggested that restrictions during COVID-19 might explain such variability. Lockdown was related to low proportions of participants reporting lifetime drug use. Adinolfi et al. (2022) reported that it might be related to the events making it impossible to get and use drugs. Both studies also suggested that high proportions of participants using drugs in their lifetime are expected when people are partially or not at all lockdowns like in our study. Layman et al. (2022) suggested that the availability when people have the possibility of getting out is associated with the increased use of drugs. Thus, the high proportions of subjects using drugs in their lifetime while being partially or not locked down might result from stress conditions and from the access to psychoactive substances.

While some people are exposed to drug use, others might have been protected by the lockdown. Bommelé et al. (2020) suggested that while some people reported smoking less due to the COVID-19 pandemic, others reported smoking more. They reported such variability of the smoking tendencies, for example, related to boredom or restrictions in movement, stimulating increasing drug use. Bommelé et al. (2020) also suggested that the threat of contracting COVID-19 or becoming severely ill might motivates to quit drug use to improve physical health. Moreover, Layman et al. (2022) suggested that people experiencing increased stress and mental health problems might cope with the risk of using drugs. Therefore, future longitudinal studies must clarify the role of motivation or protective factors in helping to prevent drug use disorders. Some factors to explore might be those about the permissiveness inside home. Some family members show permissive attitudes and behaviors encouraging drug use (Layman et al., 2022). Thus, family dysfunction and domestic violence could predispose the onset of substance use and other violent behavior. Then, it is required to monitor the prevalence of substance use in the post-pandemic years.

In such sense, in third place, our findings suggest that a low proportion of subjects need brief or intensive treatment for their substance abuse risk or psychological care. There were fewer subjects needing psychological care who failed to seek it than those who requested help. Mental Health and Substance Abuse Observer System (MHSAMOS) (2021) reported that $62.7 \%$ of subjects failed to seek treatment for drug consumption. Our findings suggest the $23.54 \%$ of our sample that consumed tobacco, the $15.67 \%$ that used alcohol, the $5.93 \%$ that used cannabis, and the $4.98 \%$ using sedatives failed to seek the psychological care they required. Our findings are consistent with those of Mental Health and Substance Abuse Observer System (MHSAMOS) (2021), which found that $17.5 \%$ of those needing help failed to request it.

However, through our WebApp, we found that $31.32 \%$ of subjects with at-risk tobacco use, $20.82 \%$ of those with at-risk alcohol use, $10.64 \%$ of those with at-risk cannabis use, and $9.87 \%$ of those with at-risk sedative use were seeking psychological care. These findings were slightly higher than the $7.7 \%$ reported by Mental Health and Substance Abuse Observer System (MHSAMOS) (2021).

Consequently, the WebApp (Morales-Chainé et al., 2022) appears to provide an opportunity to obtain professional care when there is a risk derived from the use of legal and illegal drugs. The use of the WebApp has helped reduce the gap in evidence-based treatment for subjects who may be suffering from drug use disorders. The availability of treatment is another way to reduce drug use when people are ready to seek treatment (Layman et al., 2022).

Substance use risk was identified in community and primary health care scenarios in this study through the CFA of ASSIST, during the COVID-19 pandemic, thereby reducing the treatment gap. Tiburcio et al. (2016) and Adinolfi et al. (2022) have already reported the good balance of sensitivity and specificity in the test. Nevertheless, future studies should describe the diagnosis of substance use disorders through ASSIST, evaluating the cut-off
points in the screening test. Future research should also explore the ability of ASSIST to discriminate between the presence and absence of a substance use disorder.

Nevertheless, our findings may be considered an effective attempt to screen for substance use in community and primary care settings, which could lead to effectively implementing early interventions to reduce the substance use risks associated with the current pandemic. Early treatment prevents mental health illness and promotes healthy development, reducing the risk of life-goal failure and chronic disease (Layman et al., 2022).

## Conclusions

The factor structure of the programmed ASSIST can be used remotely. More men than women reported high lifetime psychoactive substance use and risk levels because of their use. Partially-or-not-at-all lockdown seemed to be a condition with high-subject proportions using drugs in a lifetime. However, younger women reported similar and even more lifetime use of tobacco, alcohol, and cocaine than same-age men. More all-age women reported lifetime use of sedatives than all-age men. Vulnerability, while being young or women, availability factors, and not being in lockdown may explain the high levels of use and risk of drug consumption. Also, stress and social factors during the COVID-19 pandemic might explain drug use variability in the community. In addition, subjects at greater risk and needing psychological care are more likely to seek care. Furthermore, community and primary care screening strategies could lead to implementing effective early interventions to reduce the substance use risks associated with health emergencies.

## Limitations

The first limitation of the present study is that we did not use a diagnostic tool for drug use disorders. Moreover, it is not longitudinal. Consequently, future research should monitor the time lapse between the occurrence of stressful events and process of development of a drug use disorder, as well as other mental health risks, anxiety, and/or depression, through measurement tools such as the one used in this study.

In addition, a WebApp may lead to the risk of bias. Morales-Chainé et al. (2022) have already conducted a measurement invariance analysis of their electronic tools (such as PCL-C, anxiety, and depression). Future studies should therefore consider the measurement invariance of ASSIST, while comparing groups (for example, by sex), to show the bias in the questions that could be a result of other factors such as cultural or educational ones. Identifying the source of bias would increase the accuracy of ASSIST and halt the evolution of other mental illnesses. Future studies should monitor and address the consistency of the diagnosis, evaluating the effect of remote psychological help.

In addition, we should consider a strategy to increase the representativeness of our sample, to address the heterogeneity characterizing ours in the study. We were unable to achieve these conditions given that subjects participated voluntarily. A controlled study should therefore consider staggered sampling to generalize conclusions about psychoactive substance use and their relationship with sociodemographic characteristics. Moreover, subsequent studies should consider social determinants during the COVID-19 pandemic, such as unemployment, intra-familial violence, and the acceptance of drug use to understand how they contribute to the early onset of a drug use disorder (APA, 2013).

## Appendix A

Standardized and non-standardized factor loadings according to the ASSIST dimensions, with model fit indices and chi-square analysis

| Items | Standardized factor loadings | Non-Standardized factor loadings | Items | Standardized factor loadings | Non-Standardized factor loadings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tobacco |  |  | Inhalants |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2 f | 0.594 | 1.000 |
| 2a | 0.822 | 1.000 | 3f | 0.769 | 1.481 |
| 3a | 0.816 | 1.023 | 4f | 0.805 | 1.411 |
| 4 a | 0.626 | 0.519 | 5f | 0.853 | 1.749 |
| 6a | 0.723 | 0.688 | 6 f | 0.465 | 0.926 |
| 7 a | 0.657 | 0.874 | 7 f | 0.443 | 1.500 |
| Alcohol |  |  | Sedatives |  |  |
| 2b | 0.521 | 1.000 | 2 g | 0.758 | 1.000 |
| 3 b | 0.572 | 1.342 | 3 g | 0.760 | 1.013 |
| 4 b | 0.747 | 1.292 | 4 g | 0.602 | 0.493 |
| 5b | 0.725 | 1.362 | 5 g | 0.660 | 0.695 |
| 6b | 0.661 | 1.176 | 6 g | 0.614 | 0.512 |
| 7b | 0.506 | 1.218 | 7 g | 0.691 | 0.894 |
| Cannabis |  |  | Hallucinogens |  |  |
| 2c | 0.727 | 1.000 | 2h | 0.621 | 1.000 |
| 3 c | 0.746 | 1.019 | 3h | 0.659 | 1.288 |
| 4 c | 0.655 | 0.567 | 4h | 0.784 | 1.099 |
| 5c | 0.698 | 0.731 | 5h | 0.783 | 1.330 |
| 6 c | 0.663 | 0.645 | 6h | 0.492 | 0.811 |
| 7 c | 0.551 | 0.766 | 7h | 0.458 | 0.969 |
| Cocaine |  |  | Opioids |  |  |
| 2d | 0.890 | 1.000 | 2i | 0.758 | 1.000 |
| 3d | 0.859 | 1.065 | 3 i | 0.864 | 1.385 |
| 4d | 0.907 | 0.721 | 4i | 0.723 | 1.081 |
| 5d | 0.797 | 1.028 | 5 i | 0.921 | 1.714 |
| 6d | 0.624 | 0.757 | 6 i | 0.587 | 0.911 |
| 7d | 0.614 | 1.045 | 7 i | 0.669 | 1.322 |
| Stimulants |  |  | Others |  |  |
| 2 e | 0.779 | 1.000 | 2 j | 0.676 | 1.000 |
| 3 e | 0.814 | 1.086 | 3j | 0.741 | 0.963 |
| 4 e | 0.876 | 1.016 | 4j | 0.671 | 0.565 |
| 5 e | 0.850 | 1.189 | 5j | 0.668 | 0.673 |
| 6 e | 0.669 | 0.839 | 6 j | 0.705 | 0.686 |
| 7 e | 0.611 | 0.962 | 7 j | 0.687 | 0.965 |
| Model fit | $X^{2}(1,583)=50,863.65, p<0.001, \mathrm{RMSEA}=0.040, \mathrm{SRMR}=0.032, \mathrm{CFI}=0.920, \mathrm{TLI}=0.913$ |  |  |  |  |

Appendix B

| Scales | Non-seeking psychological care |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Seeking Psychological care |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | Total |  |  |  | Intensive treatment |  | Total |  | Total |  |  |  | Intensive treatment |  | Total |  | Total |  |  |  | Intensive treatment |  | Total |  |
|  |  | No intervention |  | Brief intervention |  |  |  | No intervention | Brief intervention |  | No intervention |  | Brief intervention |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |  |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |  |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |
| Tobacco | 18-19 | 996 | 84.19 | 185 | 15.64 | 2 | 0.17 | 1183 | 7.26 | 169 | 68.42 | 77 | 31.17 | 1 | 0.40 | 247 | 8.76 | 1165 | 81.47 | 262 | 18.32 | 3 | 0.21 | 1430 | 7.48 |
|  | 20-24 | 2278 | 76.14 | 694 | 23.20 | 20 | 0.67 | 2992 | 18.37 | 461 | 64.93 | 236 | 33.24 | 13 | 1.83 | 710 | 25.19 | 2739 | 73.99 | 930 | 25.12 | 33 | 0.89 | 3702 | 19.37 |
|  | 25-29 | 1774 | 73.00 | 620 | 25.51 | 36 | 1.48 | 2430 | 14.92 | 307 | 63.96 | 161 | 33.54 | 12 | 2.50 | 480 | 17.03 | 2081 | 71.51 | 781 | 26.84 | 48 | 1.65 | 2910 | 15.23 |
|  | 30-34 | 1696 | 72.42 | 608 | 25.96 | 38 | 1.62 | 2342 | 14.38 | 218 | 65.66 | 104 | 31.33 | 10 | 3.01 | 332 | 11.78 | 1914 | 71.58 | 712 | 26.63 | 48 | 1.80 | 2674 | 13.99 |
|  | 35-39 | 1533 | 73.63 | 513 | 24.64 | 36 | 1.73 | 2082 | 12.78 | 165 | 62.50 | 89 | 33.71 | 10 | 3.79 | 264 | 9.37 | 1698 | 72.38 | 602 | 25.66 | 46 | 1.96 | 2346 | 12.28 |
|  | 40-44 | 1252 | 76.16 | 373 | 22.69 | 19 | 1.16 | 1644 | 10.09 | 165 | 71.74 | 55 | 23.91 | 10 | 4.35 | 230 | 8.16 | 1417 | 75.61 | 428 | 22.84 | 29 | 1.55 | 1874 | 9.81 |
|  | 45-49 | 1117 | 78.66 | 283 | 19.93 | 20 | 1.41 | 1420 | 8.72 | 169 | 81.25 | 36 | 17.31 | 3 | 1.44 | 208 | 7.38 | 1286 | 78.99 | 319 | 19.59 | 23 | 1.41 | 1628 | 8.52 |
|  | 50-54 | 810 | 82.15 | 164 | 16.63 | 12 | 1.22 | 986 | 6.05 | 108 | 83.08 | 22 | 16.92 | 0 | 0.00 | 130 | 4.61 | 918 | 82.26 | 186 | 16.67 | 12 | 1.08 | 1116 | 5.84 |
|  | 55 o more | 999 | 82.49 | 201 | 16.60 | 11 | 0.91 | 1211 | 7.43 | 174 | 79.82 | 41 | 18.81 | 3 | 1.38 | 218 | 7.73 | 1173 | 82.09 | 242 | 16.93 | 14 | 0.98 | 1429 | 7.48 |
|  | Total | 12455 | 76.46 | 3641 | 22.35 | 194 | 1.19 | 16290 | 100.00 | 1936 | 68.68 | 821 | 29.12 | 62 | 2.20 | 2819 | 100.00 | 14391 | 75.31 | 4462 | 23.35 | 256 | 1.34 | 19109 | 100.00 |
| Alcohol | 18-19 | 1012 | 85.55 | 162 | 13.69 | 9 | 0.76 | 1183 | 7.26 | 190 | 76.92 | 50 | 20.24 | 7 | 2.83 | 247 | 8.76 | 1202 | 84.06 | 212 | 14.83 | 16 | 1.12 | 1430 | 7.48 |
|  | 20-24 | 2455 | 82.05 | 470 | 15.71 | 67 | 2.24 | 2992 | 18.37 | 541 | 76.20 | 147 | 20.70 | 22 | 3.10 | 710 | 25.19 | 2996 | 80.93 | 617 | 16.67 | 89 | 2.40 | 3702 | 19.37 |
|  | 25-29 | 1917 | 78.89 | 436 | 17.94 | 77 | 3.17 | 2430 | 14.92 | 352 | 73.33 | 90 | 18.75 | 38 | 7.92 | 480 | 17.03 | 2269 | 77.97 | 526 | 18.08 | 115 | 3.95 | 2910 | 15.23 |
|  | 30-34 | 1920 | 81.98 | 369 | 15.76 | 53 | 2.26 | 2342 | 14.38 | 263 | 79.22 | 57 | 17.17 | 12 | 3.61 | 332 | 11.78 | 2183 | 81.64 | 426 | 15.93 | 65 | 2.43 | 2674 | 13.99 |
|  | 35-39 | 1743 | 83.72 | 284 | 13.64 | 55 | 2.64 | 2082 | 12.78 | 195 | 73.86 | 53 | 20.08 | 16 | 6.06 | 264 | 9.37 | 1938 | 82.61 | 337 | 14.36 | 71 | 3.03 | 2346 | 12.28 |
|  | 40-44 | 1408 | 85.64 | 200 | 12.17 | 36 | 2.19 | 1644 | 10.09 | 193 | 83.91 | 29 | 12.61 | 8 | 3.48 | 230 | 8.16 | 1601 | 85.43 | 229 | 12.22 | 44 | 2.35 | 1874 | 9.81 |
|  | 45-49 | 1266 | 89.15 | 136 | 9.58 | 18 | 1.27 | 1420 | 8.72 | 180 | 86.54 | 22 | 10.58 | 6 | 2.88 | 208 | 7.38 | 1446 | 88.82 | 158 | 9.71 | 24 | 1.47 | 1628 | 8.52 |
|  | 50-54 | 897 | 90.97 | 77 | 7.81 | 12 | 1.22 | 986 | 6.05 | 117 | 90.00 | 10 | 7.69 | 3 | 2.31 | 130 | 4.61 | 1014 | 90.86 | 87 | 7.80 | 15 | 1.34 | 1116 | 5.84 |
|  | 55 o more | 1118 | 92.32 | 87 | 7.18 | 6 | 0.50 | 1211 | 7.43 | 201 | 92.20 | 15 | 6.88 | 2 | 0.92 | 218 | 7.73 | 1319 | 92.30 | 102 | 7.14 | 8 | 0.56 | 1429 | 7.48 |
|  | Total | 13736 | 84.32 | 2221 | 13.63 | 333 | 2.04 | 16290 | 100.00 | 2232 | 79.18 | 473 | 16.78 | 114 | 4.04 | 2819 | 100.00 | 15968 | 83.56 | 2694 | 14.10 | 447 | 2.34 | 19109 | 100.00 |


| Scales | Non-seeking psychological care |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Seeking Psychological care |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | Total |  |  |  | Intensive treatment |  | Total |  | Total |  |  |  | Intensive treatment |  | Total |  | Total |  |  |  | Intensive treatment |  | Total |  |
|  |  | No intervention |  | Brief intervention |  |  |  | No intervention | Brief intervention |  | No intervention |  | Brief intervention |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |  |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |  |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |
| Cannabis | 18-19 | 1082 | 91.46 | 97 | 8.20 | 4 | 0.34 | 1183 | 7.26 | 210 | 85.02 | 34 | 13.77 | 3 | 1.21 | 247 | 8.76 | 1292 | 90.35 | 131 | 9.16 | 7 | 0.49 | 1430 | 7.48 |
|  | 20-24 | 2711 | 90.61 | 260 | 8.69 | 21 | 0.70 | 2992 | 18.37 | 608 | 85.63 | 96 | 13.52 | 6 | 0.85 | 710 | 25.19 | 3319 | 89.65 | 356 | 9.62 | 27 | 0.73 | 3702 | 19.37 |
|  | 25-29 | 2201 | 90.58 | 212 | 8.72 | 17 | 0.70 | 2430 | 14.92 | 406 | 84.58 | 64 | 13.33 | 10 | 2.08 | 480 | 17.03 | 2607 | 89.59 | 276 | 9.48 | 27 | 0.93 | 2910 | 15.23 |
|  | 30-34 | 2159 | 92.19 | 168 | 7.17 | 15 | 0.64 | 2342 | 14.38 | 292 | 87.95 | 37 | 11.14 | 3 | 0.90 | 332 | 11.78 | 2451 | 91.66 | 205 | 7.67 | 18 | 0.67 | 2674 | 13.99 |
|  | 35-39 | 1976 | 94.91 | 101 | 4.85 | 5 | 0.24 | 2082 | 12.78 | 242 | 91.67 | 18 | 6.82 | 4 | 1.52 | 264 | 9.37 | 2218 | 94.54 | 119 | 5.07 | 9 | 0.38 | 2346 | 12.28 |
|  | 40-44 | 1584 | 96.35 | 57 | 3.47 | 3 | 0.18 | 1644 | 10.09 | 216 | 93.91 | 14 | 6.09 | 0 | 0.00 | 230 | 8.16 | 1800 | 96.05 | 71 | 3.79 | 3 | 0.16 | 1874 | 9.81 |
|  | 45-49 | 1383 | 97.39 | 34 | 2.39 | 3 | 0.21 | 1420 | 8.72 | 202 | 97.12 | 4 | 1.92 | 2 | 0.96 | 208 | 7.38 | 1585 | 97.36 | 38 | 2.33 | 5 | 0.31 | 1628 | 8.52 |
|  | 50-54 | 970 | 98.38 | 15 | 1.52 | 1 | 0.10 | 986 | 6.05 | 128 | 98.46 | 2 | 1.54 | 0 | 0.00 | 130 | 4.61 | 1098 | 98.39 | 17 | 1.52 | 1 | 0.09 | 1116 | 5.84 |
|  | 55 o more | 1195 | 98.68 | 16 | 1.32 | 0 | 0.00 | 1211 | 7.43 | 215 | 98.62 | 3 | 1.38 | 0 | 0.00 | 218 | 7.73 | 1410 | 98.67 | 19 | 1.33 | 0 | 0.00 | 1429 | 7.48 |
|  | Total | 15261 | 93.68 | 960 | 5.89 | 69 | 0.42 | 16290 | 100.00 | 2519 | 89.36 | 272 | 9.65 | 28 | 0.99 | 2819 | 100.00 | 17780 | 93.05 | 1232 | 6.45 | 97 | 0.51 | 19109 | 100.00 |
| Cocaine | 18-19 | 1172 | 99.07 | 10 | 0.85 | 1 | 0.08 | 1183 | 7.26 | 240 | 97.17 | 6 | 2.43 | 1 | 0.40 | 247 | 8.76 | 1412 | 98.74 | 16 | 1.12 | 2 | 0.14 | 1430 | 7.48 |
|  | 20-24 | 2928 | 97.86 | 59 | 1.97 | 5 | 0.17 | 2992 | 18.37 | 689 | 97.04 | 20 | 2.82 | 1 | 0.14 | 710 | 25.19 | 3617 | 97.70 | 79 | 2.13 | 6 | 0.16 | 3702 | 19.37 |
|  | 25-29 | 2374 | 97.70 | 48 | 1.98 | 8 | 0.33 | 2430 | 14.92 | 455 | 94.79 | 24 | 5.00 | 1 | 0.21 | 480 | 17.03 | 2829 | 97.22 | 72 | 2.47 | 9 | 0.31 | 2910 | 15.23 |
|  | 30-34 | 2301 | 98.25 | 38 | 1.62 | 3 | 0.13 | 2342 | 14.38 | 321 | 96.69 | 10 | 3.01 | 1 | 0.30 | 332 | 11.78 | 2622 | 98.06 | 48 | 1.80 | 4 | 0.15 | 2674 | 13.99 |
|  | 35-39 | 2052 | 98.56 | 27 | 1.30 | 3 | 0.14 | 2082 | 12.78 | 254 | 96.21 | 9 | 3.41 | 1 | 0.38 | 264 | 9.37 | 2306 | 98.29 | 36 | 1.53 | 4 | 0.17 | 2346 | 12.28 |
|  | 40-44 | 1616 | 98.30 | 26 | 1.58 | 2 | 0.12 | 1644 | 10.09 | 223 | 96.96 | 7 | 3.04 | 0 | 0.00 | 230 | 8.16 | 1839 | 98.13 | 33 | 1.76 | 2 | 0.11 | 1874 | 9.81 |
|  | 45-49 | 1402 | 98.73 | 17 | 1.20 | 1 | 0.07 | 1420 | 8.72 | 205 | 98.56 | 3 | 1.44 | 0 | 0.00 | 208 | 7.38 | 1607 | 98.71 | 20 | 1.23 | 1 | 0.06 | 1628 | 8.52 |
|  | 50-54 | 980 | 99.39 | 5 | 0.51 | 1 | 0.10 | 986 | 6.05 | 128 | 98.46 | 2 | 1.54 | 0 | 0.00 | 130 | 4.61 | 1108 | 99.28 | 7 | 0.63 | 1 | 0.09 | 1116 | 5.84 |
|  | 55 o more | 1205 | 99.50 | 6 | 0.50 | 0 | 0.00 | 1211 | 7.43 | 217 | 99.54 | 1 | 0.46 | 0 | 0.00 | 218 | 7.73 | 1422 | 99.51 | 7 | 0.49 | 0 | 0.00 | 1429 | 7.48 |
|  | Total | 16030 | 98.40 | 236 | 1.45 | 24 | 0.15 | 16290 | 100.00 | 2732 | 96.91 | 82 | 2.91 | 5 | 0.18 | 2819 | 100.00 | 18762 | 98.18 | 318 | 1.66 | 29 | 0.15 | 19109 | 100.00 |


| Scales | Non-seeking psychological care |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Seeking Psychological care |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | Total |  |  |  | Intensive treatment |  | Total |  | Total |  |  |  | Intensive treatment |  | Total |  | Total |  |  |  | Intensive treatment |  | Total |  |
|  |  | No intervention |  | Brief intervention |  |  |  | No intervention | Brief intervention |  | No intervention |  | Brief intervention |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |  |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |  |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |
| Stimu- | 18-19 | 1181 | 99.83 | 2 | 0.17 | 0 | 0.00 | 1183 | 7.26 | 244 | 98.79 | 3 | 1.21 | 0 | 0.00 | 247 | 8.76 | 1425 | 99.65 | 5 | 0.35 | 0 | 0.00 | 1430 | 7.48 |
| lants | 20-24 | 2970 | 99.26 | 19 | 0.64 | 3 | 0.10 | 2992 | 18.37 | 700 | 98.59 | 9 | 1.27 | 1 | 0.14 | 710 | 25.19 | 3670 | 99.14 | 28 | 0.76 | 4 | 0.11 | 3702 | 19.37 |
|  | 25-29 | 2399 | 98.72 | 23 | 0.95 | 8 | 0.33 | 2430 | 14.92 | 472 | 98.33 | 6 | 1.25 | 2 | 0.42 | 480 | 17.03 | 2841 | 97.63 | 29 | 1.00 | 10 | 0.34 | 2910 | 15.23 |
|  | 30-34 | 2312 | 98.72 | 27 | 1.15 | 3 | 0.13 | 2342 | 14.38 | 326 | 98.19 | 5 | 1.51 | 1 | 0.30 | 332 | 11.78 | 2638 | 98.65 | 32 | 1.20 | 4 | 0.15 | 2674 | 13.99 |
|  | 35-39 | 2068 | 99.33 | 11 | 0.53 | 3 | 0.14 | 2082 | 12.78 | 260 | 98.48 | 5 | 1.89 | 0 | 0.00 | 264 | 9.37 | 2328 | 99.23 | 15 | 0.64 | 3 | 0.13 | 2346 | 12.28 |
|  | 40-44 | 1632 | 99.27 | 9 | 0.55 | 3 | 0.18 | 1644 | 10.09 | 226 | 98.26 | 4 | 1.74 | 0 | 0.00 | 230 | 8.16 | 1858 | 99.15 | 13 | 0.69 | 3 | 0.16 | 1874 | 9.81 |
|  | 45-49 | 1412 | 99.44 | 8 | 0.56 | 0 | 0.00 | 1420 | 8.72 | 208 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 208 | 7.38 | 1620 | 99.51 | 8 | 0.49 | 0 | 0.00 | 1628 | 8.52 |
|  | 50-54 | 983 | 99.70 | 3 | 0.30 | 0 | 0.00 | 986 | 6.05 | 130 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 130 | 4.61 | 1113 | 99.73 | 3 | 0.27 | 0 | 0.00 | 1116 | 5.84 |
|  | 55 o more | 1208 | 99.75 | 3 | 0.25 | 0 | 0.00 | 1211 | 7.43 | 217 | 99.54 | 1 | 0.46 | 0 | 0.00 | 218 | 7.73 | 1425 | 99.72 | 4 | 0.28 | 0 | 0.00 | 1429 | 7.48 |
|  | Total | 16165 | 99.23 | 105 | 0.64 | 20 | 0.12 | 16290 | 100.00 | 2783 | 98.72 | 32 | 1.14 | 4 | 0.14 | 2819 | 100.00 | 18948 | 99.16 | 137 | 0.72 | 24 | 0.13 | 19109 | 100.00 |
| Inhalants | 18-19 | 1180 | 99.75 | 3 | 0.25 | 0 | 0.00 | 1183 | 7.26 | 247 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 247 | 8.76 | 1427 | 99.79 | 3 | 0.21 | 0 | 0.00 | 1430 | 7.48 |
|  | 20-24 | 2982 | 99.67 | 9 | 0.30 | 1 | 0.03 | 2992 | 18.37 | 705 | 99.30 | 5 | 0.70 | 0 | 0.00 | 710 | 25.19 | 3687 | 99.59 | 14 | 0.38 | 1 | 0.03 | 3702 | 19.37 |
|  | 25-29 | 2418 | 99.51 | 11 | 0.45 | 1 | 0.04 | 2430 | 14.92 | 473 | 98.54 | 7 | 1.46 | 0 | 0.00 | 480 | 17.03 | 2891 | 99.35 | 18 | 0.62 | 1 | 0.03 | 2910 | 15.23 |
|  | 30-34 | 2337 | 99.79 | 5 | 0.21 | 0 | 0.00 | 2342 | 14.38 | 329 | 99.10 | 3 | 0.90 | 0 | 0.00 | 332 | 11.78 | 2666 | 99.70 | 8 | 0.30 | 0 | 0.00 | 2674 | 13.99 |
|  | 35-39 | 2075 | 99.66 | 7 | 0.34 | 0 | 0.00 | 2082 | 12.78 | 264 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 264 | 9.37 | 2339 | 99.70 | 7 | 0.30 | 0 | 0.00 | 2346 | 12.28 |
|  | 40-44 | 1641 | 99.82 | 2 | 0.12 | 1 | 0.06 | 1644 | 10.09 | 230 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 230 | 8.16 | 1871 | 99.84 | 2 | 0.11 | 1 | 0.05 | 1874 | 9.81 |
|  | 45-49 | 1419 | 99.93 | 1 | 0.07 | 0 | 0.00 | 1420 | 8.72 | 208 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 208 | 7.38 | 1627 | 99.94 | 1 | 0.06 | 0 | 0.00 | 1628 | 8.52 |
|  | 50-54 | 984 | 99.80 | 2 | 0.20 | 0 | 0.00 | 986 | 6.05 | 129 | 99.23 | 1 | 0.77 | 0 | 0.00 | 130 | 4.61 | 1113 | 99.73 | 3 | 0.27 | 0 | 0.00 | 1116 | 5.84 |
|  | 55 o more | 1209 | 99.83 | 2 | 0.17 | 0 | 0.00 | 1211 | 7.43 | 218 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 218 | 7.73 | 1427 | 99.86 | 2 | 0.14 | 0 | 0.00 | 1429 | 7.48 |
|  | Total | 16245 | 99.72 | 42 | 0.26 | 3 | 0.02 | 16290 | 100.00 | 2803 | 99.43 | 16 | 0.57 | 0 | 0.00 | 2819 | 100.00 | 19048 | 99.68 | 58 | 0.30 | 3 | 0.02 | 19109 | 100.00 |

Non-seeking psychological care

| Scales | Non-seeking psychological care |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Seeking Psychological care |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | Total |  |  |  | Intensive treatment |  | Total |  | Total |  |  |  | Intensive treatment |  | Total |  | Total |  |  |  | Intensive treatment |  | Total |  |
|  |  | No intervention |  | Brief intervention |  |  |  | No intervention | Brief intervention |  | No intervention |  | Brief intervention |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |  |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |  |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |
| Sedatives | 18-19 | 1145 | 96.79 | 36 | 3.04 | 2 | 0.17 | 1183 | 7.26 | 222 | 89.88 | 25 | 10.12 | 0 | 0.00 | 247 | 8.76 | 1367 | 95.59 | 61 | 4.27 | 2 | 0.14 | 1430 | 7.48 |
|  | 20-24 | 2859 | 95.55 | 121 | 4.04 | 12 | 0.40 | 2992 | 18.37 | 645 | 90.85 | 64 | 9.01 | 1 | 0.14 | 710 | 25.19 | 3504 | 94.65 | 185 | 5.00 | 13 | 0.35 | 3702 | 19.37 |
|  | 25-29 | 2301 | 94.69 | 119 | 4.90 | 10 | 0.41 | 2430 | 14.92 | 427 | 88.96 | 48 | 10.00 | 5 | 1.04 | 480 | 17.03 | 2728 | 93.75 | 167 | 5.74 | 15 | 0.52 | 2910 | 15.23 |
|  | 30-34 | 2228 | 95.13 | 106 | 4.53 | 8 | 0.34 | 2342 | 14.38 | 301 | 90.66 | 30 | 9.04 | 1 | 0.30 | 332 | 11.78 | 2529 | 94.58 | 136 | 5.09 | 9 | 0.34 | 2674 | 13.99 |
|  | 35-39 | 1967 | 94.48 | 110 | 5.28 | 5 | 0.24 | 2082 | 12.78 | 234 | 88.64 | 26 | 9.85 | 4 | 1.52 | 264 | 9.37 | 2201 | 93.82 | 136 | 5.80 | 9 | 0.38 | 2346 | 12.28 |
|  | 40-44 | 1546 | 94.04 | 93 | 5.66 | 5 | 0.30 | 1644 | 10.09 | 205 | 89.13 | 22 | 9.57 | 3 | 1.30 | 230 | 8.16 | 1751 | 93.44 | 115 | 6.14 | 8 | 0.43 | 1874 | 9.81 |
|  | 45-49 | 1333 | 93.87 | 79 | 5.56 | 8 | 0.56 | 1420 | 8.72 | 182 | 87.50 | 22 | 10.58 | 4 | 1.92 | 208 | 7.38 | 1515 | 93.06 | 101 | 6.20 | 12 | 0.74 | 1628 | 8.52 |
|  | 50-54 | 918 | 93.10 | 67 | 6.80 | 1 | 0.10 | 986 | 6.05 | 118 | 90.77 | 9 | 6.92 | 3 | 2.31 | 130 | 4.61 | 1036 | 92.83 | 76 | 6.81 | 4 | 0.36 | 1116 | 5.84 |
|  | 55 o more | 1130 | 93.31 | 73 | 6.03 | 8 | 0.66 | 1211 | 7.43 | 185 | 84.86 | 30 | 13.76 | 3 | 1.38 | 218 | 7.73 | 1315 | 92.02 | 103 | 7.21 | 11 | 0.77 | 1429 | 7.48 |
|  | Total | 15427 | 94.70 | 804 | 4.94 | 59 | 0.36 | 16290 | 100.00 | 2519 | 89.36 | 276 | 9.79 | 24 | 0.85 | 2819 | 100.00 | 17946 | 93.91 | 1080 | 5.65 | 83 | 0.43 | 19109 | 100.00 |
| Hallucinogens | 18-19 | 1168 | 98.73 | 15 | 1.27 | 0 | 0.00 | 1183 | 7.26 | 241 | 97.57 | 6 | 2.43 | 0 | 0.00 | 247 | 8.76 | 1409 | 98.53 | 21 | 1.47 | 0 | 0.00 | 1430 | 7.48 |
|  | 20-24 | 2940 | 98.26 | 49 | 1.64 | 3 | 0.10 | 2992 | 18.37 | 687 | 96.76 | 23 | 3.24 | 0 | 0.00 | 710 | 25.19 | 3627 | 97.97 | 72 | 1.94 | 3 | 0.08 | 3702 | 19.37 |
|  | 25-29 | 2388 | 98.27 | 41 | 1.69 | 1 | 0.04 | 2430 | 14.92 | 472 | 98.33 | 8 | 1.67 | 0 | 0.00 | 480 | 17.03 | 2860 | 98.28 | 49 | 1.68 | 1 | 0.03 | 2910 | 15.23 |
|  | 30-34 | 2321 | 99.10 | 21 | 0.90 | 0 | 0.00 | 2342 | 14.38 | 326 | 98.19 | 5 | 1.51 | 1 | 0.30 | 332 | 11.78 | 2647 | 98.99 | 26 | 0.97 | 1 | 0.04 | 2674 | 13.99 |
|  | 35-39 | 2070 | 99.42 | 11 | 0.53 | 1 | 0.05 | 2082 | 12.78 | 263 | 99.62 | 1 | 0.38 | 0 | 0.00 | 264 | 9.37 | 2333 | 99.45 | 12 | 0.51 | 1 | 0.04 | 2346 | 12.28 |
|  | 40-44 | 1639 | 99.70 | 4 | 0.24 | 1 | 0.06 | 1644 | 10.09 | 229 | 99.57 | 1 | 0.43 | 0 | 0.00 | 230 | 8.16 | 1868 | 99.68 | 5 | 0.27 | 1 | 0.05 | 1874 | 9.81 |
|  | 45-49 | 1415 | 99.65 | 5 | 0.35 | 0 | 0.00 | 1420 | 8.72 | 207 | 99.52 | 1 | 0.48 | 0 | 0.00 | 208 | 7.38 | 1622 | 99.63 | 6 | 0.37 | 0 | 0.00 | 1628 | 8.52 |
|  | 50-54 | 986 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 986 | 6.05 | 129 | 99.23 | 1 | 0.77 | 0 | 0.00 | 130 | 4.61 | 1115 | 99.91 | 1 | 0.09 | 0 | 0.00 | 1116 | 5.84 |
|  | 55 o more | 1209 | 99.83 | 2 | 0.17 | 0 | 0.00 | 1211 | 7.43 | 218 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 218 | 7.73 | 1427 | 99.86 | 2 | 0.14 | 0 | 0.00 | 1429 | 7.48 |
|  | Total | 16136 | 99.05 | 148 | 0.91 | 6 | 0.04 | 16290 | 100.00 | 2772 | 98.33 | 46 | 1.63 | 1 | 0.04 | 2819 | 100.00 | 18908 | 98.95 | 194 | 1.02 | 7 | 0.04 | 19109 | 100.00 |


| Scales | Non-seeking psychological care |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Seeking Psychological care |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age | Total |  |  |  | Intensive treatment |  | Total |  | Total |  |  |  | Intensive treatment |  | Total |  | Total |  |  |  | Intensive treatment |  | Total |  |
|  |  | No intervention |  | Brief intervention |  |  |  | No intervention | Brief intervention |  | No intervention |  | Brief intervention |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |  |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |  |  | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% | $n$ | \% |
| Opioids | 18-19 | 1181 | 99.83 | 2 | 0.17 | 0 | 0.00 | 1183 | 7.26 | 247 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 247 | 8.76 | 1428 | 99.86 | 2 | 0.14 | 0 | 0.00 | 1430 | 7.48 |
|  | 20-24 | 2987 | 99.83 | 4 | 0.13 | 1 | 0.03 | 2992 | 18.37 | 708 | 99.72 | 2 | 0.28 | 0 | 0.00 | 710 | 25.19 | 3695 | 99.81 | 6 | 0.16 | 0 | 0.00 | 3702 | 19.37 |
|  | 25-29 | 2421 | 99.63 | 9 | 0.37 | 0 | 0.00 | 2430 | 14.92 | 478 | 99.58 | 2 | 0.42 | 0 | 0.00 | 480 | 17.03 | 2899 | 99.62 | 11 | 0.38 | 1 | 0.03 | 2910 | 15.23 |
|  | 30-34 | 2338 | 99.83 | 4 | 0.17 | 0 | 0.00 | 2342 | 14.38 | 331 | 99.70 | 1 | 0.30 | 0 | 0.00 | 332 | 11.78 | 2669 | 99.81 | 5 | 0.19 | 0 | 0.00 | 2674 | 13.99 |
|  | 35-39 | 2080 | 99.90 | 2 | 0.10 | 0 | 0.00 | 2082 | 12.78 | 264 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 264 | 9.37 | 2344 | 99.91 | 2 | 0.09 | 0 | 0.00 | 2346 | 12.28 |
|  | 40-44 | 1642 | 99.88 | 1 | 0.06 | 1 | 0.06 | 1644 | 10.09 | 230 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 230 | 8.16 | 1872 | 99.89 | 1 | 0.05 | 1 | 0.05 | 1874 | 9.81 |
|  | 45-49 | 1419 | 99.93 | 1 | 0.07 | 0 | 0.00 | 1420 | 8.72 | 208 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 208 | 7.38 | 1627 | 99.94 | 1 | 0.06 | 0 | 0.00 | 1628 | 8.52 |
|  | 50-54 | 986 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 986 | 6.05 | 129 | 99.23 | 1 | 0.77 | 0 | 0.00 | 130 | 4.61 | 1115 | 99.91 | 1 | 0.09 | 0 | 0.00 | 1116 | 5.84 |
|  | 55 o more | 1210 | 99.92 | 1 | 0.08 | 0 | 0.00 | 1211 | 7.43 | 218 | 100.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 218 | 7.73 | 1428 | 99.93 | 1 | 0.07 | 0 | 0.00 | 1429 | 7.48 |
|  | Total | 16264 | 99.84 | 24 | 0.15 | 2 | 0.01 | 16290 | 100.00 | 2813 | 99.79 | 6 | 0.21 | 0 | 0.00 | 2819 | 100.00 | 19077 | 99.83 | 30 | 0.16 | 2 | 0.01 | 19109 | 100.00 |
| Other | 18-19 | 1167 | 98.65 | 15 | 1.27 | 1 | 0.08 | 1183 | 7.26 | 240 | 97.17 | 7 | 2.83 | 0 | 0.00 | 247 | 8.76 | 1407 | 98.39 | 22 | 1.54 | 1 | 0.07 | 1430 | 7.48 |
|  | 20-24 | 2951 | 98.63 | 36 | 1.20 | 5 | 0.17 | 2992 | 18.37 | 683 | 96.20 | 26 | 3.66 | 1 | 0.14 | 710 | 25.19 | 3634 | 98.16 | 62 | 1.67 | 6 | 0.16 | 3702 | 19.37 |
|  | 25-29 | 2400 | 98.77 | 26 | 1.07 | 4 | 0.16 | 2430 | 14.92 | 461 | 96.04 | 18 | 3.75 | 1 | 0.21 | 480 | 17.03 | 2861 | 98.32 | 44 | 1.51 | 5 | 0.17 | 2910 | 15.23 |
|  | 30-34 | 2304 | 98.38 | 33 | 1.41 | 5 | 0.21 | 2342 | 14.38 | 326 | 98.19 | 6 | 1.81 | 0 | 0.00 | 332 | 11.78 | 2630 | 98.35 | 39 | 1.46 | 5 | 0.19 | 2674 | 13.99 |
|  | 35-39 | 2048 | 98.37 | 33 | 1.59 | 1 | 0.05 | 2082 | 12.78 | 255 | 96.59 | 6 | 2.27 | 3 | 1.14 | 264 | 9.37 | 2303 | 98.17 | 39 | 1.66 | 4 | 0.17 | 2346 | 12.28 |
|  | 40-44 | 1617 | 98.36 | 23 | 1.40 | 4 | 0.24 | 1644 | 10.09 | 219 | 95.22 | 9 | 3.91 | 2 | 0.87 | 230 | 8.16 | 1836 | 97.97 | 32 | 1.71 | 6 | 0.32 | 1874 | 9.81 |
|  | 45-49 | 1396 | 98.31 | 24 | 1.69 | 0 | 0.00 | 1420 | 8.72 | 202 | 97.12 | 5 | 2.40 | 1 | 0.48 | 208 | 7.38 | 1598 | 98.16 | 29 | 1.78 | 1 | 0.06 | 1628 | 8.52 |
|  | 50-54 | 974 | 98.78 | 11 | 1.12 | 1 | 0.10 | 986 | 6.05 | 129 | 99.23 | 0 | 0.00 | 1 | 0.77 | 130 | 4.61 | 1103 | 98.84 | 11 | 0.99 | 2 | 0.18 | 1116 | 5.84 |
|  | 55 o <br> more | 1198 | 98.93 | 13 | 1.07 | 0 | 0.00 | 1211 | 7.43 | 216 | 99.08 | 1 | 0.46 | 1 | 0.46 | 218 | 7.73 | 1414 | 98.95 | 14 | 0.98 | 1 | 0.07 | 1429 | 7.48 |
|  | Total | 16055 | 98.56 | 214 | 1.31 | 21 | 0.13 | 16290 | 100.00 | 2731 | 96.88 | 78 | 2.77 | 10 | 0.35 | 2819 | 100.00 | 18786 | 98.31 | 292 | 1.53 | 31 | 0.16 | 19109 | 100.00 |
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